Contents

序	言 孙有中	iii
Ser	ies Editors' Preface	vii
Ack	knowledgements	ix
Not	es on Contributors	X
	1 Materials in ELT: Current Issues	1
Par	t I Global and Local Materials	19
2	2 The ELT Textbook	20
3	Global vs. Local: Does It Matter?	39
4	4 Adapting Materials to Meet the Literacy Needs of Young	
	Bahraini Learners	57
	5 Cultural Representations in Algerian English Textbooks	75
Par	t II Materials in the Classroom	95
(6 Coping with New Teaching Approaches and Materials: An	
	East-European EFL Teacher's Interpretation of Communicative	
	Teaching Activities	96
1	7 Materials Adaptation in Ghana: Teachers' Attitudes and Practices	113
8	8 Multilevel Materials for Multilevel Learners	131
Ģ	9 Designing Effective, Culturally, and Linguistically Responsive	
	Pedagogy	152
Par	t III Materials and Technology	171
10	English Language Learning Through Mobile Phones	172
1	Using Interactive Fiction for Digital Game-based Language	
	Learning	193
12	2 Using Web 2.0 Tools in CLIL	215

Part I	V Materials and Teacher Education	235
13	The Story Reading Project: Integrating Materials Development	
	with Language Learning and Teaching for NNES Teachers in	
	Training	236
14	Teaching Pre-service EFL Teachers to Analyse and Adapt	
	Published Materials: An Experience from Brazil	256
15	Factors Influencing Japanese Teachers' Adoption of	
	Communication-oriented Textbooks	274
16	Materials in ELT: Looking Ahead	293
Sugge	ested Reading	304
Index		309

序言

面对百年未有之大变局,提高人才培养质量是当前我国教育改革与 发展的迫切任务。而人才培养的质量取决于两大根本支撑,其一是教师, 其二就是教材。教材的重要性不仅在于它为教学提供知识内容与教学方 法,而且在于它在很大程度上决定了人才培养的价值取向,即为谁培养 人的问题。在此意义上,教材成为国家事权。目前,我国教育界普遍认 识到,教材必须体现党和国家意志,必须坚持马克思主义指导地位,体 现马克思主义中国化要求,体现中国和中华民族风格,体现党和国家对 教育的基本要求,体现国家和民族的基本价值观,体现人类文化知识积 累和创新成果。

外语教材在我国教育体系中占有突出的重要地位。外语(英语)是 唯一贯穿我国基础教育和高等教育全过程的科目,又是直接输入外国文 化特别是西方文化的科目,教学内容承载着各种意识形态和价值观,影 响学生时间最长、人数最多。在高等教育阶段,外语不仅是人人必修的 公共课程,而且成为最大的专业类课程之一。不仅如此,外语(专业) 教学较之其他科目(专业)的教学,更多地依靠教材所提供的学习材料。 就教材的种类和出版的数量而言,外语教材无疑名列前茅。因此,外语 教材的建设和研究应受到特别重视。

当前,加强外语教材研究应着眼于两个基本目标。一是把握方向,即保障外语教材正确的价值导向,服务于立德树人和培养社会主义建设者和接班人的根本教育方针。二是提高质量,即根据外语教育教学的基本规律,结合我国外语教育教学的实践经验,揭示具有中国特色的外语

教材编写理论与方法, 打造融通中外的外语精品教材。

随着全国首届教材工作会议的召开,外语教材建设和研究进入新的发展时期。中国高等教育和外语教育的提质升级对外语教材建设和研究提出了一系列重大课题。在外语教材编写中,如何全面贯彻党的教育方针,落实立德树人根本任务?如何扎根中国大地,站稳中国立场?如何体现社会主义核心价值观?如何加强爱国主义、集体主义、社会主义教育?如何引导学生坚定道路自信、理论自信、制度自信、文化自信,成为担当民族复兴大任的时代新人?在中观和微观层面,外语教材编写如何吸收语言学、应用语言学、教育学研究的最新成果?如何提炼和继承中国外语教育教学的宝贵经验并开拓创新?如何借鉴国际外语教材编写的先进理念与方法?在全面贯彻落实《教育信息化 2.0》的时代背景下,外语教材如何支持和引领混合式教学、翻转课堂乃至慕课建设?一句话,外语教材如何为培养具有国际视野、中国情怀、思辨能力和跨文化能力的国际化人才提供坚实支撑?所有这些紧迫问题,都需要中国外语教材研究者用具有中国特色的理论与实践做出回答。

在此背景下,中国外语教材研究中心与外语教学与研究出版社策划了"外语教材研究丛书"。本套丛书一方面积极引进国外外语教材研究经典著作,一方面大力推出我国学者的原创性外语教材研究成果。在国内外语教材研究尚显薄弱的当下,我们首先精选引进了一批国外外语教材研究力作,包括:

- 《外语教材中的文化呈现》 (Representations of the World in Language Textbooks)
- 一《英语教材研发: 创新设计》(Creativity and Innovations in ELT Materials Development: Looking Beyond the Current Design)
- 一《英语教材研究:内容、使用与出版》(English Language Teaching

Textbooks: Content, Consumption, Production)

- 一《英语教材研究: 国际视角》(International Perspectives on Materials in ELT)
- 一《英语教材与教师角色: 理论与实践》(Teaching Materials and the Roles of EFL/ESL Teachers: Practice and Theory)

"它山之石,可以攻玉",引进的目的在于批判性地借鉴和自主创新。 期待本套丛书为中国外语教材研究提供理论启迪和实践指导,最终为中 国特色外语教材的编写、使用、研究做出贡献。

> 孙有中 2021年1月30日于北外

Series Editors' Preface

Anyone looking back on the history of English language teaching could be forgiven for thinking that teaching materials are the flotsam and jetsam of our profession, floating on the tides and currents of ELT fashion. Every so often some enterprising beachcomber in search of littoral treasure holds them up for inspection and we are reminded of their value, but our attention is soon drawn back to the navigational challenges of our profession and we sail on by.

This is a pity because as the editors of this volume, drawing on Richards, make clear at the outset, much teaching depends on materials; they are part of the waters on which we move. This is of fundamental importance, for as long as we see materials as mere objects available for our use and, if necessary, analysis, we deny ourselves the opportunity of understanding their place in our pedagogic world. What makes this collection distinctive is its focus on materials *in situ*: on the relationships between teachers and their materials; on the challenges of using, adapting and creating materials; and on their developmental potential.

In keeping with the theme of this series, the relationship between local and global emerges strongly in the collection, but it also includes López-Barrios and de Debat's (Argentina) provocative challenge to the relevance of the distinction itself. Ultimately, responses to this challenge must be formulated not just in terms of local contingencies but in the connection between teacher and students realised through the design and use of relevant materials. Guiney Igielski (US) touches on the essence of this relationship in her engaging chapter on designing culturally and linguistically sensitive materials: 'My prior knowledge of the students as learners at school, and my willingness to recognize them as possessors of valuable cultural capital were the building blocks of the unit's design.'

At one level, this demands of the teacher sensitivity to local constraints and opportunities, and a willingness to design or adapt materials accordingly. We see in this collection the various ways in which teachers have responded to this, whether wrestling with the challenges of the cultural adaptation of existing materials (Messekher, Algeria), developing supplementary materials

(Nuangpolmak, Thailand), or seizing opportunities offered by new technologies (Rahman and Cotter, Bangladesh). At another level, however, teaching materials raise profound questions about the nature of pedagogy and its place within political and ideological systems. They can be facilitators of change (Humphries, Japan) but also instruments of control, representing the imposition of potentially alien approaches, as Seferaj (Albania) indicates.

If we narrow our view of materials to embrace only issues of design, evaluation, and application, we obscure their indexical significance and may thereby fail to appreciate their potential. We believe that this collection offers a broader perspective and that it represents an opportunity to think differently about materials and their place in our pedagogic world.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the following for permission to reproduce copyright material:

The Ministry of National Education of the Democratic Republic of Algeria for permission to reproduce page 13 from *Spotlight on English*, Middle School, Year Two and page 21 from *On the Move*, Middle School, Year 4.

Adam Cadre for permission to use a screen shot from 9:05.

Emily Short for permission to use a screen shot from *Bronze*.

CNA, Brazil for permission to use excerpts from $Step\ Ahead\ 1$ by S. Cunningham and P. Moor.

We would also like to thank the BBC Janala project for their contribution to the volume.

Notes on Contributors

Denise M. de Abreu-e-Lima is an associate professor at Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar-Brazil). She has taught English for 25 years and has worked as a teacher educator in the undergraduate program since 1994. She is also coordinator of Distance Education Programs at UFSCar. Her research interests include teacher education, feedback processes, and using technology for teaching.

Sahar al Majthoob is the Head of the Languages and Humanities Section at the Curricula Directorate in the Ministry of Education in Bahrain. She started her career as an English teacher then moved to the field of curriculum. She supervises and participates in the materials selection and development. Her interests include first and second language literacy processes.

Eliane H. Augusto-Navarro is an associate professor at Universidade Federal de São Carlos (UFSCar-Brazil). She has taught English for over 20 years and has worked as a teacher educator in the undergraduate (since 1996) and graduate (since 2005) programs at UFSCar. Her research interests include teacher education, grammar(ing) as skill, ESP/EAP, genre analysis, and teaching materials.

Esther G. Bosompem is a lecturer at the Ghana Institute of Languages, Ghana, and has been engaged in the teaching of English as a foreign language for more than ten years. She holds an MA in TESOL and Translation Studies from Aston University, UK. Her main research interest is ELT materials use and development.

Maurizia Cherubin is a high school teacher of English in Vittuone, Italy. She is interested in ICTs and CLIL, and is an IWB tutor and coach. She holds three masters degrees: teaching foreign languages and communication; teaching English as a foreign language; communication with IWB. She also has a TKT CLIL certificate.

Tanya Cotter has worked in a variety of ELT roles in Europe, Asia, and North Africa since 1991. She was the ELT Editor for BBC Media Action on the BBC Janala project from 2010 to 2012. She is currently English for the

Future Manager for the British Council in Libya.

Enrique García Pascual is Professor and Dean of the Faculty of Education at the University of Zaragoza, Spain, and a member of the School Board of Aragon. He has published books and articles about adult education, CLIL, the role and responsibilities of teachers, and the use of ICTs in teaching and research. He has participated in Comenius, Grundtvig, Minerva, and Erasmus European projects.

Sue Garton is Director of Postgraduate Programmes in English at Aston University, UK, where she tutors on postgraduate programmes in TESOL. She has written and edited books and articles for teachers including *From Experience to Knowledge in ELT* with Julian Edge and *Professional Encounters in TESOL* with Keith Richards.

Kathleen Graves is Associate Professor of Education Practice at the University of Michigan, USA. She has written and edited books and articles on curriculum development including *Teachers as Course Developers*, *Designing Language Courses: A Guide for Teachers*, and, with Lucilla Lopriore, *Developing a New Curriculum for School Age Learners*.

Josie Guiney Igielski teaches in Madison, Wisconsin, USA. She taught Kindergarten for three years in an English Learner clustered classroom. For the last four years she has taught fourth grade to a diverse group of learners. She has a BA in Education and a Master's in Curriculum and Instruction from the University of Wisconsin, Madison.

Simon Humphries holds a PhD in Linguistics from Macquarie University and an MSc in TESOL from Aston University. His recent publications focus on action research, classroom interaction, the analysis of EFL materials, issues in CLT implementation and classroom observation. He is currently an associate professor in the Faculty of Foreign Language Studies at Kansai University in Osaka, Japan.

Mario López-Barrios is Professor of Foreign Language Teaching at the School of Languages, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina. His research interests include second language acquisition, materials development, and research methods in applied linguistics.

Fabrizio Maggi is a high school teacher, an EFL and ICT teacher trainer, and a trainer of trainers. He has been involved in CLIL projects since the mid-1980s and has developed language courses and educational software. He is also a lecturer of the English Language at the University of Pavia, Italy, and has organized Comenius and Leonardo European projects.

Hayat Messekher is an assistant professor of English at the Ecole Normale Supérieure de Bouzaréah in Algiers. Her research interests include teacher education, critical pedagogy, critical discourse analysis, and linguistic landscapes.

Apiwan Nuangpolmak is a lecturer at Chulalongkorn University Language Institute (CULI) in Bangkok, Thailand. She obtained her Master of Applied Linguistics (TESOL) and Doctor of Philosophy (Linguistics) from Macquarie University, Australia. Her research interests include materials development, motivational strategies, fostering learner autonomy, and writing instruction.

Luciana C. de Oliveira is an associate professor of TESOL and Applied Linguistics at Teachers College, Columbia University, New York City. Her research focuses on issues related to teaching English language learners (ELLs) at the K-12 level, including the role of language in learning content areas and teacher preparation for ELLs.

Patricia Pashby has taught in university settings in the US and Thailand for 25 years. Her work with in-service teacher training includes K-12 teachers from Brazil, South Korea, and China. She currently teaches in the Language Teaching Specialization programme at the University of Oregon.

Joe Pereira is an EFL teacher at the British Council in Porto, Portugal, and has a deep interest in digital game-based learning. He actively researches and promotes the use of parser-based Interactive Fiction as a language learning tool, mainly through his blog, 'IF Only: Interactive Fiction and Teaching English a Foreign Language', which can be found at http://www.theswanstation.com.

Arifa Rahman is an English language teacher and teacher educator in Bangladesh with experience in educational research, materials development, assessment, and programme evaluation. A reviewer for academic journals, she has published widely. She has also been an educational consultant with BBC Media Action, the British Council, and the European Union.

Jack C. Richards has taught in universities in New Zealand, Canada, the USA and China and is currently based mainly in Sydney, Australia. He has published widely on methodology and teacher training, and has also written many popular classroom texts, including the *Interchange* and *Four Corners* series.

Kristjan Seferaj is currently a doctoral candidate at Aston University, UK, and his chapter is based on his doctoral dissertation. He has taught

general English, academic English, and EFL teacher training courses in East Europe, West Europe, and North America. His research interests are teacher thinking and EFL methodology.

Bonny Tibbitts has worked in TESL for 35 years, teaching middle school English in Kenya, professional English at Rice University, and intensive and academic ESL at the University of Oregon. She consults and facilitates workshops on vocabulary acquisition, teaching reading, and using authentic materials to teach grammar, vocabulary, and reading strategies.

Elba Villanueva de Debat teaches EFL Methodology at the Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina. She served as ACPI President (Córdoba EFL Teachers' Association). She has presented at conferences in Latin America, Europe, and the USA. Her research interests include materials development and teacher education.

1 Materials in ELT: Current Issues

Sue Garton and Kathleen Graves

Overview

Materials in general, and commercial materials in particular, play a central role in language learning and teaching. As Richards (2001: 251) notes 'Much of the language teaching that occurs throughout the world today could not take place without the extensive use of commercial materials.' Yet, until relatively recently, this was a neglected area in English Language Teaching (ELT) research and publication. Tomlinson (2012) identifies the early nineties as the decade in which serious attention began to be shown towards materials development. Fortunately, the last few years have seen an increase in this attention with a number of new publications, including Harwood (2010), Tomlinson (2008), Tomlinson and Masuhara (2010a), Tomlinson (2013), as well as new editions of previous publications (McDonough and Shaw, 1993, 2003; McDonough, Shaw and Masuhara, 2013; Tomlinson, 1998, 2011). An important contribution to the field has also come from Tomlinson's (2012) state-of-the-art review of materials development.

Two things are noticeable about the majority of these publications, however. First, the field is generally under-researched. Many of the books published are 'how to' books, with advice for teachers (see for example McDonough, Shaw and Masuhara, 2013; McGrath, 2002; Tomlinson, 2003, 2011). These books may draw on research and theory, especially in Second Language Acquisition (SLA), but they are not based on research studies into materials. Most certainly such volumes have an important role to play but we think it is fair to say that the field is generally lacking in empirical studies, a point also made by Chapelle (2009) in relation to materials evaluation and Tomlinson and Masuhara (2010b) in relation to materials development. Three notable exceptions are the edited collections by Harwood (2010), Tomlinson and Masuhara (2010a) and Tomlinson (2013). The chapters in these volumes generally take a more theoretical perspective in looking at what underlies

the development of ELT materials, although they tend again to be based on relating theories of language and language learning to materials development rather than research into the materials themselves or their use.

The second point to be made is that the majority of previous publications focus primarily on certain aspects of ELT materials. Thus we find books and chapters on materials design and development (Harwood, 2010; Jolly and Bolitho, 2011; McGrath, 2002), materials evaluation and adaptation (Islam and Mares, 2003; Littlejohn, 2011; McDonough, Shaw and Masuhara, 2013; McGrath, 2002; Nation and Macalister, 2010; Richards, 2001), the materials writing process (Bell and Gower, 2011; Maley, 2003; Mares, 2003) and types of materials (Tomlinson, 2008).

Tomlinson's (2012) review, for example, is concerned with 'materials development', which he sees as both practical and a field of academic study. From a practical point of view, 'it involves the production, evaluation and adaptation of materials' (p. 144), while as an object of study, the focus is on 'the principles and procedures of the design, writing, implementation, evaluation and analysis of materials' (p. 144). There seems to be, however, a curious omission from these definitions – that of use. Any view of materials that neglects their actual use by teachers and/or learners can, in our view, only be partial, and yet none of the recent publications listed above (and indeed earlier ones such as Cunningsworth, 1995; McDonough and Shaw, 1993; Tomlinson, 1998) focus on this aspect, although Tomlinson (2012) does say that investigations into materials should ideally inform and be informed by their use.

This volume therefore focuses not only on materials but on their use, not only by teachers but also by learners. Where it is original is in the number of chapters written either by or about practitioners and based on research into the preparation and use of materials in everyday teaching in a variety of contexts around the world.

The field of materials is vast and cannot possibly be covered in one introductory chapter. What follows will focus on the areas identified by the contributors to this volume as important in their work. As such, it will examine aspects of materials that have been neglected, as well as look at more common aspects from new perspectives.

The coursebook

Current developments in materials, particularly in the use of technology (see for example, Macaro, Handley and Walter, 2012; Maggi, Cherubin and García Pascual, Chapter 12; Pereira, Chapter 11; Rahman and Cotter, Chapter 10), challenge traditional definitions. Harwood (2010: 3) uses the term materials to include texts in all forms (paper, audio, video) and language learning tasks, with the expressed intention of including everything from teacher handouts to global coursebooks¹. Tomlinson (2011: 2) gives an even broader definition when he states that materials are 'anything which is used by teachers or learners to facilitate the learning of a language'. His list of examples ranges from videos, emails and YouTube to grammar books, food packages and instructions given by the teacher.

Yet in spite of the broad definitions of materials that are now generally accepted, the coursebook is still ubiquitous and plays a fundamental role in ELT around the world (Littlejohn, 2011; Richards, Chapter 2; Tomlinson, 2003), as can be seen in the number of chapters in this volume that focus on some aspects of it. Thus we find discussions of different types of coursebooks (López-Barrios and Villanueva de Debat, Chapter 3; Richards, Chapter 2), of how coursebook materials are developed to meet local conditions (al Majthoob, Chapter 4), and of cultural content (Messekher, Chapter 5). Other chapters focus on how teachers use coursebooks and factors affecting their decisions (Bosompem, Chapter 7; Humphries, Chapter 15; Seferaj, Chapter 6) or how they can be used in teacher education (Augusto-Navarro, de Oliveira and Abreu-e-Lima, Chapter 14).

The global coursebook

The advantages and disadvantages of global coursebooks are well documented in the literature, as well as being experienced by teachers in their daily professional practice. Below is a list that some of Garton's students on a graduate TESOL programme drew up when asked why they would or would not want to use a coursebook in their teaching:

Why use a coursebook?

- 1. It gives structure to lessons and to a course.
- 2. It saves time teachers are too busy to prepare their own materials.
- 3. It gives a sense of security teachers feel they know what they are doing.

- 4. It promotes autonomy as learners can use and refer to it outside the classroom.
- 5. It is reliable as it is written by experts and published by well-known publishers.
- 6. It gives a sense of professionalism in the way it is presented.
- 7. It offers different perspectives as it focuses on different cultures and different places.

Why not use a coursebook?

- 1. It cannot meet the needs of a particular group of learners.
- 2. The language taught might not be appropriate.
- 3. It might not be culturally appropriate.
- 4. It is outdated.
- 5. It is not authentic.
- 6. It is not representative of the local context.
- 7. It takes away the teacher's creativity.

Perhaps unsurprisingly, this list is very similar to those in the literature (see, for example, Masuhara and Tomlinson, 2008; McGrath, 2002; Richards, 2001, Chapter 2).

Different views of coursebooks were also noted by McGrath (2006) in the metaphors that teachers use to describe them. McGrath (2006: 174) categorised these metaphors into four groups, on a continuum from dependence to independence, the first three of which demonstrated a relatively positive attitude: Guidance (map, compass); Support (anchor, petrol); Resource (convenience store, menu); Constraint (millstone, straightjacket). Although this study, and the list above, show that teachers generally have quite favourable views of coursebooks, they also underline a certain ambivalence and highlight a number of issues.

In-depth reviews by Tomlinson, Dat, Masuhara and Rubdy (2001) and Masuhara, Hann, Yi and Tomlinson (2008) have revealed perhaps less obvious issues with the global coursebook. For example, overall Tomlinson, Dat, Masuhara and Rubdy (2001) found that the coursebooks they reviewed did not encourage adaptation or facilitate the tailoring of the materials to learners' needs or to local contexts. Moreover, Masuhara, Hann, Yi and Tomlinson (2008) found a lack of suggestions for personalisation, localisation and mixed-level classes. They also found that topics were generally banal and that there was a

focus on politeness rather than conflict and competition. Yet most of the above are issues that have long been recognised as key principles that should underlie successful materials (see, for example, Tomlinson, 2008, 2011, 2012).

Critical views

In the wake of critical approaches to TESOL (see, for example, Block, Gray and Holborow, 2012; Edge, 2006) global coursebooks have also come under more critical scrutiny. At its most basic this can be seen in the open acknowledgement that global publishing is a multi-million pound business (Masuhara and Tomlinson, 2008), a realisation that is often something of a surprise to graduate students and teachers. Masuhara and Tomlinson (2008) point out that, in an attempt to maximise profits, global coursebooks for general English are aimed at the dual markets of language courses in English-speaking countries and in English as a Foreign language contexts. The result is that they may not satisfy the needs of learners and teachers in either (Masuhara et al., 2008: 310) and al Majthoob (Chapter 4) makes a strong case for materials that reflect different realities.

Tomlinson (2008) even goes so far as to assert that coursebooks are at least partly to blame for the failure of learners to learn in that they conform to the expectations of stakeholders and the demands of the market rather than to what we know about language acquisition and the learning process. Underlying Tomlinson's criticism are pedagogical premises, which still view materials as 'curriculum artefacts' (Apple and Christian-Smith, 1991: 4 as cited in Gray, 2010: 2). However, Gray (2010, 2012), building on the work of critical applied linguists such as Pennycook (1994) and Phillipson (1992, 2009) makes a compelling case for considering the global coursebook as a cultural artefact which presents a particular view of reality and is value laden. He describes how ELT publishers focus on 'aspirational content' with frequent use of topics around personal and professional success, celebrities, cosmopolitanism and travel, all of which are believed to be motivating for language learners (Gray, 2012: 87) and with the underlying message that English equates with success (Gray, 2012: 104). However, such images may not be motivating and may be resisted by learners (Canagarajah, 1993) or may leave them feeling inadequate (Masuhara and Tomlinson, 2008: 19).

The values portrayed by coursebooks are also inscribed in the methodological approaches they adopt (Prodromou and Mishen, 2008). Global coursebooks tend to be based on approaches developed in western academic

departments, exhibiting what Prodromou and Mishen (2008: 194) call 'methodological correctness'. They define methodological correctness as:

a set of beliefs derived from prestigious but incomplete academic research in the Anglophone centre that influence the decisions one makes regarding materials and methods in the classroom, even if those decisions are inconsistent with the local context and particular needs and wants of the students. (ibid.: 194)

The effects of the introduction of western methodological approaches, and the pressure it may put on teachers who are expected to use new approaches and materials, are well documented (see Garton, Copland and Burns, 2011 for a summary of the issues).

Gray (2012: 111) calls for alternative articulations of English, a call that is reflected in alternative approaches such as that outlined by Guiney Igielski (Chapter 9) through the development of materials that are based in culturally and linguistically responsive pedagogy.

However, in spite of criticisms, teachers and learners themselves may generally view global coursebooks favourably, albeit with a healthy lack of idealism (Yakhontova, 2001; Zacharias, 2005). This is far from the view of teachers and learners as unquestioning consumers, which sometimes seems to emerge from more critical approaches to materials.

Global vs. local coursebooks

An alternative to the global coursebook lies in books that are produced for specific countries or regions. In some cases these are local versions of global books; in others they are books written especially for a particular country, either by 'experts' from English-speaking countries, or by local writers, or in collaboration. The solution in China has been to use cooperation between local education departments, local publishers, overseas publishers and textbook writers (Hu, 2005). Al Majthoob (Chapter 4) provides an excellent example of how a local version of a coursebook can meet the needs of learners in a specific context far more effectively than any global coursebook.

However, these books do not necessarily address the issues raised above in relation to global coursebooks. As Hoque (2009) points out, in Bangladesh, for example, textbook writing committees are led by academics with little experience of teaching in schools. Taking the case of Algeria, Messekher (Chapter 5) notes how, even in locally produced coursebooks, the culture of Inner Circle (Kachru, 1985) countries may still predominate, and even where

local culture is included, it may be in a diluted form. Moreover, the approach taken to culture is one of a critical information-giving, which does little to develop the intercultural awareness needed by learners who are more likely to be using English to communicate with other 'non-native speakers' than with 'native speakers'. As Graves and Garton note (Chapter 16) 'localising content enables learners to talk and write about their own experiences, concerns and culture through English'. Producing local textbooks that do not reflect local contexts seems like a missed opportunity to promote positive attitudes towards both local culture and English.

Interestingly, Chapelle (2009) points to US national guidelines that state the focus of materials should be on contexts where language is used. Given that, in the case of English, that now means everywhere in the world, all materials should be taking an awareness-raising approach to language and culture (see Graves and Garton, Chapter 16).

However, local publishers can also have a positive influence on their global counterparts. Prodromu and Mishen (2008) look at the example of Greece as what they call (ibid.: 203) 'an interesting example of the local determining the global, the periphery fighting back against the centre'. In response to local demands, Greek publishers produced coursebooks that introduced a stronger form-focused element, which was not only more suited to local 'cultures of learning' (Jin and Cortazzi, 2006) but also went some way to reinstating practices that had long fallen out of favour, such as use of the L1 and grammar explanations. As a result, this 'hybrid' approach has now become the norm in materials published for the Greek market.

It is worth noting that such hybrid practices have probably always been very much alive in the majority of English classrooms around the world, as teachers adapted global materials to their own contexts (see Humphries, Chapter 15; Seferaj, Chapter 6). However, at least with the advent of more hybrid practices in published coursebooks, such practices can again be considered respectable.

Materials and their users

We made the point in the introduction that there is surprisingly little written about materials users and so far, in this chapter, we have considered the coursebook as a tool. Yet any discussion that sees materials independently of their users, the learners and teachers in a variety of learning contexts can only be partial. As Edge and Garton (2009: 55) put it:

the teacher's purpose is not to teach materials at all: the purpose is to teach the learners and the materials are there to serve that purpose.

They go on to note (ibid.: 60) that what published materials cannot provide are *insights* into the needs and interests of particular groups of learners and *decisions* about how best to use the materials. It is precisely how teachers use materials to serve the purpose of teaching learners, their insights and decision-making, as well as learners' attitudes towards, and use of materials, that is currently missing from the literature. As Moulton (1997: vii quoted in Opoku-Amankwa, 2010: 162) noted:

It is difficult to find out how teachers use textbooks without actually observing them ... what they think about their use without actually asking them ... Observing how teachers use textbooks and asking them why they use them as they do will reveal significant information about the learning-teaching process and how it can be improved.

Moreover, the continued separation of materials and their actual use risks entrenching the old theory/practice divide that Clarke was problematising twenty years ago (Clarke, 1994).

One notable exception is Opoku-Amankwa (2010), whose ethnographic study looked at the interaction between teachers, learners and textbooks in an urban primary school in Ghana. Opoku-Amankwa (2010) identified a number of factors that influenced students' access to and use of textbooks, including class size, seating arrangements and teachers' interpretation of policy concerning student access to textbooks. He concluded that there was a discrepancy between the availability of materials and students' access to and use of them and that this could have a negative impact on literacy development. This study underlines the importance of looking at the role materials play in actual classroom contexts.

Studies such as this, together with those looking at teachers' beliefs and attitudes towards materials (see, for example, Lee and Bathmaker, 2007; Zacharias, 2005), learners' attitudes (Yakhontova, 2001) and those comparing teachers' and learners' attitudes (see, for example, McGrath, 2006; Peacock, 1997) offer an important insight into materials and their users.

A number of chapters in this volume go some way to addressing this gap in the literature and from a variety of perspectives. Seferaj (Chapter 6) and Humphries (Chapter 15) both report on teachers' actual classroom practices in using materials, while Bosompem (Chapter 7) shows how a group of teachers

in Ghana actually adapted their materials and also examines their motivations for doing so. What is also interesting about Bosompem's chapter is the attention it draws to the power of the coursebook in some contexts as her teachers, far from seeing adaptation as necessary for learners and the sign of a good teacher, felt guilty and inadequate. Detailed and personal accounts of materials adaptation to suit a particular context are given by Nuangpolmak (Chapter 8) and Guiney Igielski (Chapter 9), both of whom are responding to issues that have been identified in the literature. By focusing on materials for mixed levels, Nuangpolmak addresses a problem that has not only been raised by Masuhara, Hann, Yi and Tomlinson (2008) in regard to coursebooks, but which is also seen by English teachers, at least at primary level, as their biggest challenge (Garton, Copland and Burns, 2011). Guiney Igielski's focus on culturally and linguistically responsive pedagogy is an effective contribution to the debates around how best to value the multilingual and multicultural experiences of learners in the language classroom.

However, most of the chapters in this book address materials use from the teacher's point of view, rather than from that of the learners. Tomlinson and Masuhara (2010b) note that investigations into the effects of materials on language learning would be desirable, but that there are practical difficulties to carrying out such studies: they would have to be longitudinal, requiring considerable resources; and it would be extremely difficult to control for variables influencing acquisition in a classroom situation. This remains an area for research

Materials use and change

As outlined above, one of the reasons for the popularity of coursebooks is that they are deemed to provide a clear set of activities and guidelines that both teachers and students can follow. Writers such as Hutchinson and Torres (1994), Masuhara and Tomlinson (2008) maintain that materials can support novice teachers or those who lack confidence.

It is also often argued that appropriate coursebooks can facilitate curricular change because they provide a visible framework that both teachers and students can follow (Rubdy, 2003) and they help teachers to 'fully understand and "routinize" change' (Hutchinson and Torres, 1994: 323). However, it would seem this is often not the case.

In response to the perceived global demand for communication in English, new language curricula around the world have generally emphasised communicative competence. Recent curriculum changes at all levels, together with the introduction of English to primary schools, have created a series of challenges for teachers (see Garton, Copland and Burns, 2011 for a detailed discussion), and their use of materials, putting to the test the assertion that coursebooks can facilitate change.

First, while curricula may change, the books used may not. Thus in many countries, teachers have found themselves with a lack of suitable materials, either because materials are not available (Hoque, 2009; Hu, 2007; Mathew and Pani, 2009) or because those that are available do not reflect changes in the curriculum (Hu, 2007; İnal, 2009; Nunan, 2003).

Second, it may simply not be enough to give teachers a new book and expect them to change how they teach. As Nur (2003) notes, teachers may need training to use the new books, otherwise they continue to employ previous methods. While multimedia packages may offer support to teachers with low levels of English proficiency (Mitchell and Lee, 2003; Nunan, 2003), actually changing the way that teachers teach is far more complex, as Seferaj (Chapter 6) and Humphries (Chapter 15) both show. Humphries (Chapter 15) identifies a range of factors that influence the way that teachers use coursebooks and shows that simply changing a coursebook will not necessarily change the way a teacher teaches. Seferaj's teacher informant also raises the question as to what extent teachers should be expected to change the way they teach and brings us back to Prodromou and Mishen's (2008) idea of methodological correctness. As Seferaj's (ibid.) teacher shows, teachers demonstrate a clear understanding of, and are able to clearly articulate, the very good reasons for adapting the new materials they are given rather than changing the way they teach. So, while governments mandate communicative language teaching, the typical pragmatic response from teachers is to interpret and adapt the approaches according to their local context (Littlewood, 2007).

It seems, therefore, that the introduction of new coursebooks alone may not lead to changes in practice. Although coursebooks may represent the new curriculum and provide some basic support when there is a shortage of qualified practitioners, the teachers may not understand the underlying principles (Nur, 2003). Moreover, beginning teachers do not always have the confidence to challenge the authority of the coursebook (Bosompem, Chapter 7; Gray, 2000) potentially leading to confusion and feelings of guilt.

Teacher education is necessary to help practitioners to understand materials better, together with how and whether to introduce changes inherent in new materials. Yet courses on materials evaluation, adaptation and design seem to be relatively rare on graduate programmes. Tibbitts and Pashby (Chapter 13) and Augusto-Navarro, de Oliveira and Abreu-e-Lima (Chapter 14) show how teacher education programmes can ensure that teachers are informed users of materials rather than mere consumers.

Technology

No overview of materials in ELT can ignore the enormous impact that technology has had in recent years. It is no exaggeration to say that developments in digital technology have revolutionised language learning materials (see Macaro, Handley and Walter, 2012 for a review of Computer Assisted Language Learning in primary and secondary education).

On the one hand, technology has been embraced by publishers who now use it to accompany coursebooks, producing not only CD-roms and DVDs but also companion websites and versions of their materials for the Interactive Whiteboard (IWB). This is what we might call top-down uses of technology. However, perhaps the most exciting developments are the affordances given for the bottom-up development of materials by teachers and learners through the use of Web 2.0 tools. Thomas (2009) shows the range of possibilities afforded by these tools with chapters on Skype, mobile phones, Personal Learning Environments, social networking sites, podcasts and weblogs, to name just a few. Motteram (2011) also gives examples of how teachers can use technology to develop materials. The use of digital audio and video, the Internet, blogs, wikis, Virtual Learning Environments and so on has put 'the possibilities of the adaptation and creation of a broad range of language learning materials into the hands of the teacher, but also into the hands of the learners' (Motteram, 2011: 304).

This last point is important. Prensky (2001) calls the current generation of students, the first generation to have grown up with digital technology, *digital natives*. On the other hand, he calls their teachers *digital immigrants*, a group who needs to get used to a new way of thinking and learning and who have varying degrees of success. Therefore, the use of technology can place the learner squarely at the centre of materials in a way not always possible with traditional materials. Pereira's use of interactive fiction in language learning (Chapter 11) shows how learners can be active users of materials. The project described by Maggi, Cherubin and García Pascual (Chapter 12) is a clear example of how learners can take control of the materials and of their own learning.

However, not all learners have the opportunity to become digital natives. Chapelle (2009) points out that the global spread of technology in language learning and the social, political and economic realities of learners around the world may not be compatible. However, Rahman and Cotter's experience (Chapter 10) shows that widely accessible and relatively low-cost technology, such as mobile phones, can be effective in language learning and actually has the potential to reach learners who may otherwise struggle to access English classes.

The example that Rahman and Cotter (Chapter 10) give is a very significant one. The use of mobile phones to deliver English courses in Bangladesh is an example of how technology contributes to clear pedagogical goals and enhances the learning experience. As Kervin and Derewianka (2011: 328) note, the concern should always be with the contribution that technology can make to learning, and they list a number of important pedagogical considerations (ibid.: 349) concerning how the electronic materials fit with learning aims and objectives as key. Unfortunately, this is not always the case. Mukundan (2008: 100) notes the money wasted on technology through investments such as language laboratories, leaving teachers to puzzle over how to fit new materials into existing practices and with the risk they will focus on technology and not on learners.

Conclusion

This introduction, and indeed this book cannot focus on every aspect of materials in ELT, which is a huge area. We have only very briefly mentioned well-covered ground such as materials development and evaluation. We have ignored aspects of the content of materials, such as gender, and the language used (see for example, Jones, Kitetu and Sunderland, 1997; Nguyen, 2011; Sunderland, 2000) as well as debates around authentic materials (see for example, Gilmore, 2007; Guariento and Morley, 2001; Peacock, 1997). We have also not mentioned the use of corpora in materials or as materials (see for example, Willis, 2011). Finally, we have also, to an extent, ignored learners, both from the perspective of learner-developed materials (see, for example, Maley, 2011; Willis, 2011) and the effects of materials on learners (but see Rahman and Cotter, Chapter 10). Tomlinson (2012) called for more research on the empirical effects of materials on SLA. Ellis (2011) also calls for evaluation based less on the appeal of materials and more on what learners do with them and what they learn. We would certainly endorse both these calls.

However, in this volume we have focused on the materials themselves and the way that teachers use them, relatively neglected areas to date. We see the underlying message of this introduction and of this volume as how materials need to be a fit with learning aims and objectives. Materials are fundamental to language learning and teaching (although see Thornbury, 2000 for an alternative view) but materials cannot be viewed independently of their users. What this volume does is look at how materials are actually used to fulfil the learning aims and objectives in a variety of local contexts and how these local experiences can resonate with practitioners around the world in order to help them become more effective materials users.

Notes

- 1. Throughout this volume, the terms coursebook and textbook will be used interchangeably.
- 2. We use these terms purely for convenience, fully aware of how problematic they are.

References

- Bell, J. and Gower, R. (2011). Writing course materials for the world: a great compromise. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 135–150.
- Block, D., Gray, J. and Holborow, M. (2012). *Neoliberalism and Applied Linguistics*. London: Routledge.
- Canagarajah, S. (1993). Critical ethnography of a Sri Lankan classroom: ambiguities in student opposition to reproduction through ESOL. *TESOL Quarterly*, 27(4): 601–626.
- Chapelle, A. A. (2009). The spread of computer-assisted language learning. *Language Teaching*, 43(1): 66–74.
- Clarke, M. (1994). The dysfunctions of the theory/practice discourse. *TESOL Quarterly*, 28(1): 9–26.
- Cunningsworth, A. (1995). *Choosing Your Coursebook*. Oxford: Macmillan Heinemann.
- Edge, J. (ed.) (2006). (Re)locating TESOL in an Age of Empire. London: Palgrave. Edge, J. and Garton, S. (2009). From Experience to Knowledge in ELT. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (2011). Macro- and micro-evaluations of task-based teaching. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 212–235.
- Garton, S., Copland, F. and Burns, A. (2011). *Investigating Global Practices in Teaching English to Young Learners: Project Report.* British Council.

- Gilmore, A. (2004). A comparison of textbook and authentic interactions. *ELT Journal*, 58(4): 363–374.
- Gilmore, A. (2007). Authentic materials and authenticity in foreign language learning. *Language Teaching*, 40(2): 97–118.
- Gray, J. (2000). The ELT coursebook as cultural artefact. *ELT Journal*, 54(3): 274–283.
- Gray, J. (2010). The Construction of English: Culture, Consumerism and Promotion in the ELT Global Coursebook. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 1–20.
- Gray, J. (2012). Neoliberalism, celebrity and 'aspirational content' in English language teaching textbooks for the global market. In Block, D., Gray, J. and Holborow, M. (eds), *Neoliberalism and Applied Linguistics*. Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 86–113.
- Guariento, W. and Morley, J. (2001). Text and task authenticity in the EFL classroom. *ELT Journal*, 55(4): 347–353.
- Harwood, N. (ed.) (2010). *English Language Teaching Materials: Theory and Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hoque, S. (2009). Teaching English in primary schools in Bangladesh: competencies and achievements. In Enever, J., Moon, J. and Raman, U. (eds), *Young Learner English Language Policy and Implementation: International Perspectives*. Reading, England: Garnet Education, pp. 61–69.
- Hu, G. (2005). Contextual influences on instructional practices: a Chinese case for an ecological approach to ELT. *TESOL Quarterly*, 39(4): 635–660.
- Hu, Y. (2007). China's foreign language policy on primary English education: what's behind it? *Language Policy*, 6: 359–376.
- Hutchinson, T. and Torres, E. (1994). The textbook as agent of change. *ELT Journal*, 48(4): 315–328.
- İnal, D. (2009). 'The early bird catches the worm': the Turkish case. In Enever, J., Moon, J. and Raman, U. (eds), Young Learner English Language Policy and Implementation: International Perspectives. Reading, England: Garnet Education, pp. 71–78.
- Islam, C. and Mares, C. (2003). Adapting classroom materials. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), London: Continuum, pp. 86–100.
- Jin, L. and Cortazzi, M. (2006). Changing practices in Chinese cultures of learning. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 19(1): 5–20.
- Jolly, D. and Bolitho, R. (2011). A framework for materials writing. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 107–134.
- Jones, M. A., Kitetu, C. and Sunderland, J. (1997). Discourse roles, gender and language textbook dialogues: who learns what from John and Sally? *Gender* and Education, 9(4): 469–490.

- Kachru, B. B. (1985). Standards, codification and sociolinguistic realism: the English language in the outer circle. In Quirk, R. and Widdowson, H. G. (eds), English in the World: Teaching and Learning the Language and Literatures. Cambridge University Press for The British Council.
- Kervin, L. and Derewianka, B. (2011). New technologies to support language learning. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 328–356.
- Lee, R. N. F. and Bathmaker, A. M. (2007). The use of English textbooks for teaching English to vocational students in Singapore secondary schools: a survey of teachers' beliefs. *RELC Journal*, 38(3): 350–374.
- Littlejohn, A. (2011). The analysis of language teaching materials: inside the Trojan horse. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 179–211.
- Littlewood, W. (2007). Communicative and task-based language teaching in East Asian classrooms. *Language Teaching*, 40(3): 243–249.
- Macaro, E., Handley, Z. and Walter, C. (2012). A systematic review of CALL in English as a second language: focus on primary and secondary education. *Language Teaching*, 45(1): 1–43.
- Maley, A. (2003). Creative approaches to writing materials. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 183–198.
- Maley, A. (2011). Squaring the circle reconciling materials as constraint with materials as empowerment. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 379–402.
- Mares, C. (2003). Writing a coursebook. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 130–140.
- Masuhara, H., Hann, N., Yi, Y. and Tomlinson, B. (2008). Adult EFL courses. *ELT Journal*, 62(3): 294–312.
- Masuhara, H. and Tomlinson, B. (2008). Materials for general English. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 17–37.
- Mathew, R. and Pani, S. (2009). Issues in the implementation of teaching English for young learners (TEYL): A case study of two states in India. In Enever, J., Moon, J. and Raman, U. (eds), *Young Learner English Language Policy and Implementation: International Perspectives*. Reading: Garnet Education, pp. 113–120.
- McDonough, J. and Shaw, C. (1993). *Materials and Methods in ELT*, 1st Edition. Oxford: Blackwell.
- McDonough, J. and Shaw, C. (2003). *Materials and Methods in ELT*, 2nd Edition. Oxford: Blackwell.
- McDonough, J., Shaw, C. and Masuhara, H. (2013). *Materials and Methods in ELT*, 3rd Edition. Oxford: Blackwell.
- McGrath, I. (2002). *Materials Evaluation and Design for Language Teaching*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- McGrath, I. (2006). Teachers' and Learners' images for coursebooks. *ELT Journal*, 60(2): 171–180.

- Mitchell, R. and Lee, J. H. W. (2003). Sameness and difference in classroom learning cultures: interpretations of communicative pedagogy in the UK and Korea. *Language Teaching Research*, 7(1): 35–63.
- Motteram, G. (2011). Developing language-learning materials with technology. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 303–327.
- Mukundan, J. (2008). Multimedia materials in developing countries: the Malaysian experience. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 100–110.
- Nation, I. S. P. and Macalister, J. (2010). *Language Curriculum Design*. New York: Routledge.
- Nguyen, M. T. T. (2011). Learning to communicate in a globalized world: to what extent do school textbooks facilitate the development of intercultural pragmatic competence? *RELC Journal*, 42(1): 17–30.
- Nunan, D. (2003). The impact of English as a global language on educational policies and practices in the Asia-Pacific region. *TESOL Quarterly*, 37(4): 589–613.
- Nur, C. (2003). English Language Teaching in Indonesia: changing policies and practical constraints. In Ho, W. K. and Wong, R. Y. L. (eds), *English Language Teaching in East Asia Today: Changing Policies and Practices*. Singapore: Eastern Universities Press, pp. 163–172.
- Opoku-Amankwa, K. (2010). What happens to textbooks in the classroom? Pupil's access to literacy in an urban primary school in Ghana. *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, 18(2): 159–172.
- Peacock, M. (1997). The effect of authentic materials on the motivation of EFL learners. *ELT Journal*, 51(2): 144–156.
- Pennycook, A. (1994). *The Cultural Politics of English as an International Language*. London: Longman.
- Phillipson, R. (1992). Linguistic Imperialism. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Phillipson, R. (2009). Linguistic Imperialism Continued. London: Routledge.
- Prensky, M. (2001). Digital Natives, Digital Immigrants. Available at http://www.marcprensky.com/writing/prensky%20%20digital%20natives,%20digital%20immigrants%20-%20part1.pdf [Accessed 31/05/13].
- Prodromou, L. and Mishen, F. (2008). Materials used in Western Europe. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 193–212.
- Richards, J. C. (2001). *Curriculum Development in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rubdy, R. (2003). Selection of materials. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 37–57.
- Sunderland, J. (2000). New understandings of gender and language classroom research: texts, teacher talk and student talk. *Language Teaching Research*, 4(2): 149–173.
- Thomas, M. (2009). Handbook of Research on Web 2.0 and Second Language

- Learning. New York: IGI Global.
- Thornbury, S. (2000). A Dogma for EFL. Available at http://www.thornburyscott.com/tu/Dogma%20article.htm [Accessed 31/05/13].
- Tomlinson, B. (ed.) (1998). *Materials Development in Language Teaching*, 1st edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tomlinson, B. (ed.) (2003). *Developing Materials for Language Teaching*. London: Continuum.
- Tomlinson, B. (ed.) (2008). *English Language Learning Materials: A Critical Review*. London: Continuum.
- Tomlinson, B. (2010). Principles of effective materials development. In Harwood, N. (ed.), pp. 81–108.
- Tomlinson, B. (ed.) (2011). *Materials Development in Language Teaching*, 2nd Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tomlinson, B. (2012). Materials development for language learning and teaching. *Language Teaching*, 45(2): 143–179.
- Tomlinson, B. (ed.) (2013). *Applied Linguistics and Materials Development*. London and New York: Bloomsbury.
- Tomlinson, B., Dat, B., Masuhara, H. and Rubdy, R. (2001). EFL courses for adults. *ELT Journal*, 55(1): 80–101.
- Tomlinson, B. and Masuhara, H. (eds) (2010a). *Research for Materials Development in Language Learning*. London: Continuum.
- Tomlinson, B. and Masuhara, H. (2010b). Published research on materials development for language learning. In Tomlinson, B. and Masuhara, H. (eds), pp. 1–18.
- Willis, J. (2011). Concordances in the classroom without a computer: assembling and exploiting concordances of common words. In Tomlinson, B. (ed.), pp. 51–77.
- Yakhontova, T. (2001). Textbooks, contexts and learners. *English for Specific Purposes*, 20: 397–415.
- Zacharias, N. T. (2005). Teachers' beliefs about internationally-published materials: a survey of tertiary English teachers in Indonesia. *RELC Journal*, 36(1): 23–37.